

# **RatingsDirect**®

# **Research Update:**

# Croatian Capital City of Zagreb Outlook Revised To Stable Following Similar Action On Croatia; 'BB' Rating Affirmed

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Sabine Daehn, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-244; sabine.daehn@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Felix Ejgel, London (44) 20-7176-6780; felix.ejgel@spglobal.com

## Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

**Key Statistics** 

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Sovereign Statistics

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

# **Research Update:**

# Croatian Capital City of Zagreb Outlook Revised To Stable Following Similar Action On Croatia; 'BB' Rating Affirmed

## **Overview**

- On Dec. 16, 2016, we revised our outlook on the Republic of Croatia to stable from negative and affirmed the 'BB' sovereign credit rating.
- We cap the long-term ratings and outlook on Zagreb at the level of the long-term ratings and outlook on Croatia. We see no change in any of the key factors underpinning the ratings on Zagreb.
- We are therefore revising the outlook on Zagreb to stable from negative, and affirming the ratings at 'BB'.
- The stable outlook reflects our view that Zagreb will maintain its very strong budgetary performance and low debt levels over the next year.

# **Rating Action**

On Dec. 22, 2016, S&P Global Ratings revised its outlook on Croatia's capital, the City of Zagreb, to stable from negative. We affirmed our 'BB' long-term issuer credit rating on Zagreb.

As a "sovereign rating" (as defined in EU CRA Regulation 1060/2009 "EU CRA Regulation"), the ratings on Zagreb are subject to certain publication restrictions set out in Art 8a of the EU CRA Regulation, including publication in accordance with a pre-established calendar (see "Calendar Of 2017 EMEA Sovereign, Regional, And Local Government Rating Publication Dates," published Dec. 16, 2016, on RatingsDirect). Under the EU CRA Regulation, deviations from the announced calendar are allowed only in limited circumstances and must be accompanied by a detailed explanation of the reasons for the deviation. In the case of Zagreb, the deviation has been caused by the revision of the outlook on the Republic of Croatia to stable from negative on Dec. 16, 2016. The next scheduled rating publication on our rating on Zagreb will be in 2017.

### Rationale

The rating action on Zagreb follows our revision of the outlook on Croatia to stable from negative on Dec. 16, 2016 (see "Croatia Outlook Revised To Stable On Stronger Growth And Reduced Fiscal Pressure; 'BB/B' Ratings Affirmed," published on RatingsDirect). The long-term rating on Zagreb remains at the same level as that on the sovereign. We would not currently rate Croatian local or regional governments (LRGs) above the sovereign.

The rating on Zagreb reflects the city's weak budgetary flexibility, financial management, and liquidity position, as well as the volatile and unbalanced

institutional framework for Croatian LRGs. Supportive factors are our assessment of Zagreb's average economy, very strong budgetary performance, low debt, and moderate contingent liabilities. We assess Zagreb's stand-alone credit profile (SACP) at 'bb'.

### Outlook

The stable outlook on Zagreb reflects our expectation that the city will continue to perform in line with our base-case scenario over the coming 12 months.

We might consider a negative rating action on Zagreb if we see weakening budgetary performance, which could also lead to a weaker assessment of the city's debt if operating surpluses were lower than expected in our forecast. We could also lower the rating if the city's liquidity position deteriorated due to dwindling cash reserves, or if we changed our assessment of the city's financial management because of uncertainties regarding political leadership.

We could raise the rating if the city structurally improves its liquidity position, resulting in free cash consistently exceeding 80% of annual debt service. The prospect of an upgrade would, however, also be contingent on us taking a similar rating action on the sovereign, as we consider that Croatian cities cannot be rated above the sovereign under our criteria.

# **Key Statistics**

Table 1

City of Zagreb Financial Statistics							
	Fiscal year end Dec. 31						
(Mil. HRK)	2013	2014	2015	2016bc	2017bc	2018bc	
Operating revenues	6,604	6,607	6,167	6,535	6,605	6,697	
Operating expenditures	5,560	5,808	5,519	5,796	5,861	5,901	
Operating balance	1,044	799	648	739	744	797	
Operating balance (% of operating revenues)	15.8	12.1	10.5	11.3	11.3	11.9	
Capital revenues	229	66	46	32	100	100	
Capital expenditures	992	790	567	583	650	650	
Balance after capital accounts	281	75	127	188	194	247	
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues)	4.1	1.1	2.0	2.9	2.9	3.6	
Debt repaid	272	308	522	430	440	410	
Net budget loans	2	5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Balance after debt repayment and onlending	11	(229)	(394)	(242)	(246)	(163)	
Balance after debt repayment and onlending (% of total revenues)	0.2	(3.4)	(6.3)	(3.7)	(3.7)	(2.4)	
Gross borrowings	150	190	193	200	200	200	
Balance after borrowings	161	(39)	(202)	(42)	(46)	37	
Operating revenue growth (%)	3.5	0.0	(6.7)	6.0	1.1	1.4	

Table 1

City of Zagreb Financial Statistics (cont.)							
		Fiscal year end Dec. 31					
(Mil. HRK)	2013	2014	2015	2016bc	2017bc	2018bc	
Operating expenditure growth (%)	0.3	4.5	(5.0)	5.0	1.1	0.7	
Modifiable revenues (% of operating revenues)	36.9	35.1	36.2	35.7	35.6	35.3	
Capital expenditures (% of total expenditures)	15.1	12.0	9.3	9.1	10.0	9.9	
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)	2,801	2,445	2,798	2,568	2,328	2,118	
Direct debt (% of operating revenues)	42.4	37.0	45.4	39.3	35.3	31.6	
Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues)	85.0	66.4	83.3	75.2	70.3	69.1	
Interest (% of operating revenues)	0.8	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	
Debt service (% of operating revenues)	4.9	6.6	10.4	8.3	8.4	7.8	

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. HRK--Croatian kuna. N/A--Not available.

Table 2

City of Zagreb Economic Statistics							
		Fiscal year end Dec. 31					
	2013	2014	2015	2016bc	2017bc	2018bc	
Population	793,930	795,500	796,000	797,000	798,000	800,000	
Population growth (%)	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	
GDP per capita (HRK)	142,220	140,360	142,890	146,460	150,560	155,380	
Unemployment rate (%)	9.5	9.5	13.7	12.5	12.0	12.0	

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. Sources typically include national statistical offices, Eurostat, and Experian Limited. HRK--Croatian kuna.

# **Ratings Score Snapshot**

#### Table 3

# City of Zagreb Ratings Score Snapshot

#### **Key rating factors**

Institutional framework	Volatile and unbalanced
Economy	Average
Financial management	Weak
Budgetary flexibility	Weak
Budgetary performance	Very strong
Liquidity	Weak
Debt burden	Low
Contingent Liabilities	Moderate

<sup>\*</sup>S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on local and regional governments (LRGs) on eight main rating factors listed in the table above. Section A of S&P Global Ratings "Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments" summarizes how the eight factors are combined to derive the foreign currency rating on an LRG.

# **Key Sovereign Statistics**

Croatia Outlook Revised To Stable On Stronger Growth And Reduced Fiscal Pressure; 'BB/B' Ratings Affirmed, Dec. 16, 2016

# **Related Criteria And Research**

# **Related Criteria**

- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology: Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments Higher Than The Sovereign - December 15, 2014
- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments June 30, 2014
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions November 19, 2013
- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing The Liquidity Of Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments And Related Entities And For Rating Their Commercial Paper Programs - October 15, 2009
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks September 14, 2009

#### Related Research

- Sovereign Risk Indicators, Dec. 14, 2016. An interactive version is available at http://www.spratings.com/SRI
- The Former Yugoslav Republics 25 Years After The Fall Of Yugoslavia. Where Are They Now?, Nov. 14, 2016
- 2015 Annual International Public Finance Default Study And Rating Transitions June 30, 2016
- Public Finance System Overview: Croatian Municipalities, May 7, 2015
- · Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment: Croatia, Oct. 22, 2015

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

# **Ratings List**

Rating

To From

Zagreb (City of)

Issuer Credit Rating

Foreign and Local Currency BB/Stable/-- BB/Negative/--

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

#### **Additional Contact:**

International Public Finance Ratings Europe; PublicFinanceEurope@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2016 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.